

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/634,239	08/05/2003	Mark Buchanan	DKT02025A (0267.00060)	6399
7590 07/26/2004		EXAMINER		
BorgWarner, Inc.			LEWIS, TISHA D	
Powertrain Technical Center Suite 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3800 Automation Avenue			3681	
Auburn Hills, MI 48326			DATE MAILED: 07/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/634,239	BUCHANAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	TISHA D. LEWIS	3681				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ways. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	`.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a first action on the merits of application serial no. 10/634,239 filed on August 5, 2003.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed on November 10, 2003 has been acknowledged.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is currently over 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 2 and 5 are objected to because of the following informalities:

-In claim 1, lines 15 and 23, --desired-- should be inserted between "the" and "clutch".

- -In claim 1, line 18, --engine-- should be inserted between "target" and "speed" and ---profile-- should be inserted after "speed".
 - -In claim 2, line 7, "from" should be deleted between "the" and "initial".
- -In claim 2, line 8, "speed" should be changed to --acceleration-- (as introduced in claim 1, vehicle acceleration).
- -In claim 5, lines 9, 27 and 39, --desired-- should be inserted between "the" and "clutch".
- -In claim 5, line 20, after "torque output", --of the transmission-- should be inserted.
 - -In claim 5, line 20, "from" should be deleted between "the" and "initial".
- -In claim 5, line 30, --engine-- should be inserted between "target" and "speed" and ---profile-- should be inserted after "speed".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the torque" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,239

Art Unit: 3681

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the driven member" in lines 5 and 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the torque output" in line 12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the synchronizer" in lines 17 and 18. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the pressure" in line 26 (before first clutch). There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "the pressure" in line 5 (before second clutch).

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the torque" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the engine throttle and the vehicle speed" in line 5.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the driven member" in lines 8 and 10. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the clutch torque" in line 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the pressure" in line 16 (before first clutch). There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the pressure" in line 5 (before second clutch).

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the torque output" in line 20. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the synchronizer" in line 29 (before initial gear and final gear). There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-5 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Submission of your response by facsimile transmission is encouraged. Group 3600's facsimile number is (703) 872-9326 before final and 703-872-9327 after final. Recognizing the fact that reducing cycle time in the processing and examination of patent applications will effectively increase a patent's term, it is to your benefit to submit responses by facsimile transmission whenever permissible. Such submission will place the response directly in our examining group's hands and will eliminate Post Office processing and delivery time as well as the PTO's mail room processing and delivery time. For a complete list of correspondence <u>not</u> permitted by facsimile transmission, see MPEP 502.01. In general, most responses and/or amendments not requiring a fee, as well as those requiring a fee but charging such fee to a deposit account, can be submitted by facsimile transmission. Responses requiring a fee which applicant is paying by check <u>should not be</u> submitting by facsimile transmission separately from the check.

Responses submitted by facsimile transmission should include a Certificate of Transmission (MPEP 512). The following is an example of the format the certification might take:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No. (703) 000-0000) on				
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:				
(Signature)				

If your response is submitted by facsimile transmission, you are hereby reminded that the original should be retained as evidence of authenticity (37 CFR 1.4 and MPEP 502.02). Please do not separately mail the original or another copy unless required by

the Patent and Trademark Office. Submission of the original response or a follow-up copy of the response after your response has been transmitted by facsimile will only cause further unnecessary delays in the processing of your application; duplicate responses where fees are charged to a deposit account may result in those fees being charged twice.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Mack ('867), Buchanan et al ('597), Mitchell et al ('067), Hubbard et al ('213), Matsuno et al ('476), Reuschel ('212), Sakamoto et al ('296), and Brown et al ('418) are cited as having methods for controlling torque of at least two clutches.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TISHA D. LEWIS whose telephone number is 703-305-0921. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 8 AM TO 3 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CHARLES A. MARMOR can be reached on 703-308-0830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tdl July 22, 2004 PRIMARY EXAMINER